arXiv:1605.04014v1 [math.CA] 13 May 2016

ON A CONVEXITY PROPERTY

SLAVKO SIMIĆ

Abstract. In this article we proved an interesting property of the class of continuous convex functions. This leads to the form of pre-Hermite-Hadamard inequality which in turn admits a generalization of the famous Hermite-Hadamard inequality. Some further discussion is also given.

1. Introduction

Most general class of convex functions is defined by the inequality

$$\frac{\phi(x)+\phi(y)}{2} \geq \phi(\frac{x+y}{2}). \tag{1.1}$$

A function which satisfies this inequality in a certain closed interval I is called *convex* in that interval. Geometrically it means that the midpoint of any chord of the curve $y = \phi(x)$ lies above or on the curve.

Denote now by Q the family of *weights* i.e., non-negative real numbers summing to 1. If ϕ is continuous, then the inequality

$$p\phi(x) + q\phi(y) \geq \phi(px + qy) \tag{1.2}$$

holds for any $p, q \in Q$. Moreover, the equality sign takes place only if $x = y$ or ϕ is linear (cf. [HLP]).

The same is valid for so-called *Jensen functional*, defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_\phi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) := \sum p_i\phi(x_i) - \phi(\sum p_i x_i),$$

where $\mathbf{p} = \{p_i\}_1^n \in Q, \mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}_1^n \in I, n \geq 2$.

Geometrically, the inequality (1.2) asserts that each chord of the curve $y = \phi(x)$ lies above or on the curve.

File: property.tex, printed: 2016-5-16, 1.13

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B62(26D15).

Key words and phrases. Continuous convex functions; pre-Hermite-Hadamard inequalities; generalization of Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

2. Results and proofs

Main contribution of this paper is the following

Proposition X *Let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous convex function defined on a closed interval $[a,b] := I$. Denote*

$$F(s,t) := f(s) + f(t) - 2f(\frac{s+t}{2}).$$

Prove that

$$\max_{s,t\in I} F(s,t) = F(a,b). \tag{1}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that the inequality

$$F(s,t) \leq F(a,b)$$

holds for $a < s < t < b$.

In the sequel we need the following assertion (which is of independent interest).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous convex function on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in I$ and $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$, then*

$$(i) \quad \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{2} \leq f(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}) - f(\frac{x_1 + x_3}{2});$$
$$(ii) \quad \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{2} \geq f(\frac{x_1 + x_3}{2}) - f(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}).$$

Proof

We shall prove the first part of the lemma; proof of the second part goes along the same lines.

Since $x_1 < x_2 < \frac{x_2+x_3}{2} < x_3$, there exist $p, q;\ 0 \leq p, q \leq 1, p + q = 1$ such that $x_2 = px_1 + q\frac{x_2+x_3}{2}$.

Hence,

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{2} + f(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x_1) - f(px_1 + q\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2})] + f(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2})$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}[f(x_1) - (pf(x_1) + qf(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}))] + f(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}) = \frac{q}{2}f(x_1) + \frac{2-q}{2}f(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2})$$
$$\geq f(\frac{q}{2}x_1 + \frac{2-q}{2}(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2})) = f(\frac{q}{2}x_1 + (\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - px_1)) = f(\frac{x_1 + x_3}{2}).$$

For the proof of second part we can take $x_2 = p(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}) + qx_3$ and proceed as above.

Now, applying the part (i) with $x_1 = a, x_2 = s, x_3 = b$ and the part (ii) with $x_1 = s, x_2 = t, x_3 = b$, we get

$$\frac{f(s) - f(a)}{2} \leq f(\frac{s+b}{2}) - f(\frac{a+b}{2}); \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{f(b) - f(t)}{2} \geq f(\frac{s+b}{2}) - f(\frac{s+t}{2}), \tag{3}$$

respectively.

Subtracting (2) from (3), the desired inequality follows. □

Remark 2.2. *A challenging task is to find a geometric proof of the property* (1).

We shall quote now a couple of important consequences. The first one is used in a number of articles although we never saw a proof of it.

Corollary 2.3. *Let f be defined as above. If $x, y \in [a, b]$ and $x + y = a + b$, then*

$$f(x) + f(y) \leq f(a) + f(b).$$

Proof. Obvious, as a simple application of Proposition X.

□

Corollary 2.4. *Under the conditions of Proposition X, the double inequality*

$$2f(\frac{a+b}{2}) \leq f(pa + qb) + f(pb + qa) \leq f(a) + f(b) \tag{4}$$

holds for arbitrary weights $p, q \in Q$.

Proof. Applying Proposition X with $s = pa+qb, t = pb+qa; s, t \in I$ we get the right-hand side of (4). The left-hand side inequality is obvious since, by definition,

$$\frac{f(pa + qb) + f(pb + qa)}{2} \geq f[\frac{(pa + qb) + (pb + qa)}{2}] = f(\frac{a+b}{2}).$$

□

Remark 2.5. *The relation (4) represents a kind of pre-Hermite-Hadamard inequalities. Indeed, integrating both sides of (4) over $p \in [0, 1]$, we obtain the form of Hermite-Hadamard inequality (cf.* [NP]*),*

$$f(\frac{a+b}{2}) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}.$$

Moreover, the inequality (4) admits a generalization of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

Proposition Y *Let g be an arbitrary non-negative and integrable function on I. Then, with f defined as above, we get*

$$2f(\frac{a+b}{2})\int_a^b g(t)dt \leq \int_a^b (g(t)+g(a+b-t))f(t)dt \leq (f(a)+f(b))\int_a^b g(t)dt. \qquad (5)$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (4) with $g(pa+qb)$ and integrating over $p \in [0,1]$, we obtain

$$2f(\frac{a+b}{2})\frac{\int_a^b g(t)dt}{b-a} \leq \frac{\int_a^b (f(t)+f(a+b-t))g(t)dt}{b-a} \leq (f(a)+f(b))\frac{\int_a^b f(t)dt}{b-a},$$

and, because

$$\int_a^b (f(t)+f(a+b-t))g(t)dt = \int_a^b (g(t)+g(a+b-t))f(t)dt,$$

the inequality (5) follows. □

We shall give in the sequel some illustrations of this proposition.

Corollary 2.6. *For any f that is convex and continuous on $I := [a,b], 0 < a < b$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\{0\}$, we have*

$$2f(\frac{a+b}{2}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{b^\alpha - a^\alpha}\int_a^b [t^{\alpha-1}+(a+b-t)^{\alpha-1}]f(t)dt \leq f(a)+f(b).$$

Also, for $\alpha \to 0$, we get

Corollary 2.7.

$$2f(\frac{a+b}{2})\frac{\log(b/a)}{a+b} \leq \int_a^b \frac{f(t)}{t(a+b-t)}dt \leq [f(a)+f(b)]\frac{\log(b/a)}{a+b}.$$

Similarly,

Corollary 2.8.

$$2f(\frac{\pi}{2}) \leq \int_0^\pi f(t)\sin t dt \leq f(0)+f(\pi);$$

$$2f(\frac{\pi}{4}) \leq \int_0^{\pi/2} [\sin t+\cos t]f(t)dt \leq f(0)+f(\pi/2).$$

Estimations of the convolution of symmetric kernel on a symmetric interval are also of interest.

Corollary 2.9. *Let f and g be defined as above on a symmetric interval $[-a,a], a>0$. Then we have that*

$$2f(0)\int_{-a}^{a} g(t)dt \leq \int_{-a}^{a}[g(-t)+g(t)]f(t)dt \leq [f(-a)+f(a)]\int_{-a}^{a} g(t)dt.$$

Remark 2.10. *There remains the question of possible extensions of the relation* (1)*. In this sense one can try to prove, along the lines of the proof of* (1)*, that*

$$\max_{p,q\in Q;x,y\in[a,b]} F^*(p,q;x,y) = F^*(p,q;a,b),$$

where

$$F^*(p,q;x,y) := pf(x)+qf(y)-f(px+qy).$$

Anyway the result will be wrong, as simple examples show (apart from the case $f(x)=x^2$).

On the other hand, it was proved in [S] *that for $p_i \in Q$ and $x_i \in [a,b]$ there exist $p,q \in Q$ such that*

$$\mathcal{J}_f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x}) = \sum p_i f(x_i) - f(\sum p_i x_i) \leq pf(a)+qf(b)-f(pa+qb), \tag{6}$$

for any continuous function f which is convex on $[a,b]$.

Therefore, an important conclusion follows.

Corollary 2.11. *For arbitrary $p_i \in Q$ and $x_i \in [a,b]$, we have that*

$$\sum p_i f(x_i) - f(\sum p_i x_i) \leq \max_p [pf(a)+qf(b)-f(pa+qb)] := T_f(a,b),$$

where $T_f(a,b)$ is an optimal upper global bound, depending only on a and b (cf. [S]*).*

An answer to the above remark is given by the next

Proposition Z *If f is continuous and convex on $[a,b]$, then*

$$\max_{p,q\in Q;x,y\in[a,b]} F^*(p,q;x,y) \leq F(a,b).$$

Proof. We shall prove just that

$$F^*(p,q;x,y) \leq F(x,y),$$

for all $p,q \in Q$ and $x,y \in [a,b]$.

Indeed,

$$F(x,y)-F^*(p,q;x,y) = qf(x)+pf(y)+f(px+qy)-2f(\frac{x+y}{2})$$

$$\geq f(qx+py)+f(px+qy)-2f(\frac{x+y}{2})\geq 2f(\frac{(qx+py)+(px+qy)}{2})-2f(\frac{x+y}{2})=0.$$

The rest of the proof is an application of Proposition X. □

Putting there $x=a, y=b$ and combining with (6), we obtain another global bound for Jensen functional.

Corollary 2.12. *We have that*

$$\mathcal{J}_f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x})\leq f(a)+f(b)-2f(\frac{a+b}{2}):=T_f'(a,b).$$

The bound $T_f'(a,b)$ is not so precise as $T_f(a,b)$ but is much easier to calculate.

References

[HLP] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978.

[NP] C. P. Nikulesku and L. E. Persson, Old and new on the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 29(2) (2003/4) pp. 663-685.

[S] S. Simić, Best possible global bounds for Jensen functional, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **138**, No. 7 (2010) pp. 2457-1462.

Mathematical Institute SANU, Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
E-mail address: ssimic@turing.mi.sanu.ac.rs